

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SJUNDE AP-FONDEN and THE
CLEVELAND BAKERS AND
TEAMSTERS PENSION FUND,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-8457-JMF

Hon. Jesse M. Furman

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION
OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

This matter is before the Court on Class Representatives' motion to determine whether the proposed plan for allocating the Net Settlement Fund ("Plan of Allocation") created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned securities class action ("Action") should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it; and it appearing that notice substantially in the form approved by the Court, which advised Class Members of the Plan of Allocation, was mailed to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of November 22, 2024 (ECF No. 476) ("Stipulation") and all

capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. Notice of Class Representatives' motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation was given to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Over 3.8 million Postcard Notices and 6,200 Notice Packets (i.e., the Notice and Claim Form) were mailed to potential Class Members and Nominees, and the Notice which included the Plan of Allocation was posted on the Settlement Website, www.GeneralElectricSecuritiesSettlement.com.

5. There were no objections to the Plan of Allocation.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

7. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Class Representatives.

8. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval of the Plan of Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

9. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of _____, 2025.

The Honorable Jesse M. Furman
United States District Judge